

EXHIBIT H

EXHIBIT F
Secured and Unsecured Non-Investor Claims - Denied

Class 4

Claim Number	Claim Amount	Claim Determination	Allowed Amount
497	\$69,000.00	The Claimant alleges that he was an investor and unpaid creditor. He also states that he provided and delivered "health care goods and services of a confidential nature." The Proof of Claim Form was not properly completed and did not provide any supporting documents. The Receiver did not send a Proof of Claim Form to the Claimant and has no record of the Claimant or any purported investment made or services provided. The Receiver sent a letter to the Claimant identifying the deficiencies and providing the Claimant 30 days to correct them. The Receiver did not receive any response. It appears that at the time of the submission of the claim, the Claimant was an inmate in a correctional facility. For the foregoing reasons, this claim should be denied.	None
498	\$12,600.00	This claim seeks the recovery of a security deposit paid in connection with a lease relating to Receivership real property. In connection with the sale of that property, the Claimant executed a lease termination agreement which waived all of its rights under the lease, which would include the right to receive the return of any deposit it may have paid. Accordingly, this claim should be denied.	None
499	\$58,114.50	The Claimant entered into a contract with Receivership Entity Home Front Homes, LLC for the purchase of building materials. The Claimant asserts that payment was made for materials that were not delivered. The Claimant is a charitable organization which also received approximately \$682,500.00 in distributions of scheme proceeds from Receivership Entity Guy-Nadel Foundation, Inc. in the form of charitable donations. It is fair and equitable to set-off this claim with the distributions the Claimant received. Because the distributions exceed the amount claimed, the claim should be denied.	None

Secured and Unsecured Non-Investor Claims - Denied

Class 4

Claim Number	Claim Amount	Claim Determination	Allowed Amount
500	\$2,090,488.34	The Claimant seeks recovery relating to a \$2 million line of credit extended to Christopher Moody. The line was secured by Christopher Moody's trust's Investor Account in Viking Fund. As detailed in Section II. D. 3. of the Claims Determination Motion, this claim should be denied for at least two independent reasons: (1) Christopher Moody's conduct in connection with the scheme, which resulted in his entry into a consent judgment for violations of the antifraud provisions of federal securities laws, severed Christopher Moody's trust's interest in Viking Fund; and (2) even if that interest was not severed, that interest should not receive any distributions as the money invested in Viking Fund to acquire that interest was proceeds of the scheme which Christopher Moody received as "compensation" from the Hedge Funds. Further, upon learning that the Hedge Funds were involved in a fraudulent scheme, the Claimant engaged in a scheme with Christopher Moody in an attempt to convert Receivership assets as security for the line of credit. Allowing this Claimant to share in Receivership assets with the defrauded investors would be inequitable. Accordingly, this claim should be denied. Please see Claims Determination Motion Section II. D. 3. for additional details.	None
501	\$2,090,488.34 and seeks turnover of Christopher Moody's Bonds.com stock (3,116,171 shares) and promissory notes	The Claimant seeks recovery relating to the same \$2 million line of credit extended to Christopher Moody which underlies Claim Number 500. When the scheme collapsed and the Claimant was informed its collateral addressed in Claim Number 500 was worthless, the Claimant required Christopher Moody to provide additional collateral in the form of Christopher Moody's equity and debt interests in Bonds.com. In this claim, the Claimant seeks turnover of that interest, which the Receiver now controls. As detailed in Section II. D. 3. of the Claims Determination Motion, this claim should be denied because: (1) LandMark Bank had actual notice of fraud at the time it entered into the transaction purportedly giving rise to that claim and conspired with Christopher Moody to convert Receivership assets; (2)	None
		that transaction violated the TRO and Order Appointing Receiver; and (3) that transaction involved an avoidable fraudulent transfer. Allowing this Claimant to share in Receivership assets with the defrauded investors would be inequitable. For the foregoing reasons and as further discussed in Claims Determination Motion Section II. D. 3., this claim should be denied.	

Secured and Unsecured Non-Investor Claims - Denied

Class 4

Claim Number	Claim Amount	Claim Determination	Allowed Amount
502	\$2,655,000.00	The Claimant seeks recovery relating to a loan it made to Scoop Real Estate, L.P. to purchase a building in Graham, North Carolina. As detailed in Section II. D. 2. of the Claims Determination Motion, this claim should be denied because the Claimant was on inquiry notice of Nadel's fraud for two independent reasons: (1) because Nadel used a set of "shadow" bank accounts at Wachovia Bank to perpetrate his scheme and to ostensibly conceal it from the staff of the Fund Managers, and those accounts involved a number of improprieties that should have raised numerous red flags at Wachovia Bank; and (2) because Wachovia Bank was an investor in one of the Hedge Funds which also raised numerous "red flags." Allowing this Claimant to share in Receivership assets with the defrauded investors would be inequitable. Accordingly, this claim should be denied. Please see Claims Determination Motion Section II. D. 2. for additional details.	None
503	\$5,000.00	The Claimant seeks the recovery of a purported loan or investment given by the Claimant to an individual named J.C. Abercrombie. Neither J.C. Abercrombie nor the purported investment appears to have any relationship to this Receivership. Accordingly, this claim should be denied.	None
504	\$1,100,000.00	The Claimant is a former wife of Arthur Nadel. She seeks damages in connection with purported mortgages taken out on her real property at the alleged request of Nadel while the two were married. These claims are personal claims against Nadel, who is not in receivership; arise from matters which predate Nadel's scheme; and are not otherwise within the purview of the Receivership. Accordingly, this claim should be denied.	None
Total	\$5,990,202.84		